

New) Lebanon Feby 8<sup>th</sup> 1853

Friend

A. Mooney {

I. Shall look to you for five Dollars for each  
and every month's Labor that Benson Sivil does perform  
for you. therefore I forbid you harboring trusting or setting  
said Boys to work upon the property of the Levee. you have  
already exposed your self to a penalty by secreting & conveying  
away my indentured Boys. you have denied of my being in  
your House & said in mean time I can prove to the Contra  
you had better Read the Revised Statutes Vol 2<sup>d</sup> pg 90  
in which you will see your mistake. & see that

for runaways

the place of rendezvous at your  
house must and <sup>shall</sup> be broken up. I am not to play with you  
I shall enforce what I say. Therefore remember that you  
pay me as above stated for Benson's labor. also remember  
that there is ten dollars fine for every 24 hours detention after this  
for you to pay upon Complaint. Etc. Your old women & young  
ones may say that we have no business to talk Children  
etc this is our look out and when we want their advice we will  
apply for it. as to any improvement by the Boys at your farm.  
I think it would be but small -

Your Friend  
D. J. Hawkins

You will recollect that some time after you spoke to me I decided  
with Mrs and Miss Peters were not at home, therefore no application  
took place. I thought I might probably accidentally meet him  
but it has not so happened

CAT. NO. 9744

Sri

Mr Jonathan Wood remarked to me  
some time ago that some of your people particularly yourself  
wished I would call at your office that we  
should have some conversation with me, I  
asked him on what subject in particular,  
he said there did not seem to be the right sort of  
neighboring feeling, and it was thought a conversation  
might remove prejudices &c &c. I replied to him  
that I could have no objection, at any convenient time  
to call when I was that way, I was then ill, &  
had been all winter, & Moses was absent.  
Indeed I should be pleased to have a conversation,  
I supposed that I knew to what he principally  
alluded, that I had no personal ill will, or  
hostility to any individual of your Society my op-  
position to your Society was founded upon principle  
that every other Society or community must from the  
nature of things be opposed to yours, for if yours  
sundered, or just so far as it did succeed, others  
were injured, being of that anomalous character which  
would destroy the works of creation, and render  
abortion the design of Providence. Hence you will  
see the impossibility of reconciling the world to your  
principles, when they are known and understood.

You must be wrong, or all the world Broly  
must be wrong. But you will ~~please~~ understand  
me distinctly, it is not your religious faith that  
we combat. The constitution wisely guarantees the  
~~freedom~~ <sup>tolerance</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>may be tolerated where necessary</sup> to all <sup>to promote</sup> to combat it.  
the enjoyment of religion to all, ~~and~~ I am the  
not inclined to congregate ~~but~~  
~~bad men~~ ~~so~~ ~~not~~ ~~not~~ to this principle except when  
men claim to exercise it ~~the~~ <sup>in regulation</sup> principle in a manner  
inconsistent with established, public maxims, or  
with the rights of individuals, or other communities.

This is the ground upon which I was opposed to your bill. It was a public act, and one upon which every individual had a right to animadvert, and express an opinion, and enquire whether the privileges asked, were consistent with what is granted to others. It appears to me to be liable to all these objections, tho' they are greatly lessened by the amendments, and the reservation of the right to repeal the act. Individual rights are also left unimpaired, they are left free to claim, and enforce their right if they choose. In all probability circumstances will arise which will bring up the subject again for discussion, & if found to be a bad precedent, or inconsistent with the interests of others, the Legislature can repeal it.

In regard to the state of feeling before alluded to it seems to me the fault must lie mainly with your people, for as regards myself I can say that I have never intimated sentiments, which I have heard as coming from your people. I have never heard any of our citizens say that they should not get your old Sanitarium, or Synagogue for a Stable, I have never heard any one say that they wished your hill was sunk into a lake of fire & brimstone - Nor remark about any of your members "Thank fortune he dont live always, he will be out of the way by & by" nor rejoice when any of your prominent <sup>dead</sup> die, & publicly state that it was a "Special interposition of God" in taking a man out of the world to favor the people of God" &c &c -

You people have asked me what objections we had against you buying farms in the midst of us. I have replied but one, and that would be the same that you would make against people not of your faith buying farms in the midst of your village, and interfering with your institutions, and lessening your privileges. You are aware that

any thing which respects our social privileges. Schools  
etc., is regarded as injurious to the community. Your  
system and ours are entirely dissimilar, and of  
course supported upon different principles. For myself  
- self, so far as joining lands is concerned, I am as  
well suited to join with you, as with any body else  
I have been urged to buy land near you. Mr. Lepage  
wished me to buy his on the hill I declined, and told  
him he ought to sell it to you.

You are aware that we do not look upon  
your system in a favorable light, but one of op-  
position, in cases where families are broken up  
and in cases where people leave destitute after  
many years of service, and have often felt  
constrained to render them assistance. This always  
gives offence to your people. —

I repeat that I do not state these things in  
any feeling of personal unkindness, for I entertain  
none. My motives are all what I have before  
stated. It would give me satisfaction to converse  
with you on any of these matters at any conven-  
ient time

To the Shakers

Sir

I found a note from you in my drawer, in which you said you made them for me out of duty. Therefore not out of any good will. I do not feel so towards you, I entertain no feelings towards you but <sup>those</sup> kindness and good will.

You know that I have been brought up a Shaker, but when I came to years of discretion I became dissatisfied with many of the Shaker doctrines, particularly those relating to Ann Lee. The more I reflected on the subject and searched the scripture, the greater was my dissatisfaction, and I found it was all delusion, till lately I did not understand the character of Ann Lee.

I consider the system of confession ~~I mean~~ degrading to the human character, all men are created equal, and unless they forfeit this liberty by crimes, ought not to be subject to bondage. Every one is accountable to his God and to him we ought to confess <sup>and not to</sup> ~~instead of~~ Man. I believe with you that God will bring all things into judgment, and I hope for merciful consideration.

You say my fate is sealed, meaning that I am consigned to perdition. I will not judge you so severely but leave that matter to the searcher of hearts. I will however say, that I think you are deluded, & I hope the same light which has opened my eyes will open yours also. It is time to abandon a system founded upon Ann Lee, and adopt one founded upon the Gospel. I wish you <sup>would</sup> show this to all my acquaintances, for I wish you all well, and mean to maintain a friendly intercourse with you, and all the members of the Society. I feel the same solicitude for your present and future welfare than you say you do for mine, and hope to see you all often.

all my friends often. Men was formed for social en-  
joyment

Sometime last Spring Mr. Wood called upon me  
and requested that you would be pleased to have me  
call at your office, for the purpose of having some conversation  
with me. You thought there was ~~not~~ <sup>any</sup> that neighborly  
feeling existing when we <sup>met</sup> personally, & which might be removed  
by explanations. I replied that I had no objection to  
call there when it might be convenient or convenient <sup>not</sup>  
at any other place. Some few weeks since I called but  
you were absent. ~~I have no particular regard~~ <sup>for</sup> all regard any  
individual of your Society, and of them is not that neighborly  
feeling existing on your <sup>part</sup> ~~part~~ <sup>particular</sup> ~~particular~~ <sup>particular</sup> ~~particular~~  
~~but I have said or done any thing to interrupt~~ <sup>but</sup> ~~but~~ <sup>but</sup>  
~~an individual had not done~~ <sup>done</sup> It must have arisen from  
the <sup>feeling</sup> Congress, and remarks of your people.

~~This is not uncommon to hear of very harsh and unkind~~  
~~remarks being made about individuals, even~~ <sup>think</sup>  
~~Yours etc~~ <sup>etc</sup> can't live always he will be one of  
~~the waylaid eyes~~

I have never heard sentiments expressed by any of my  
neighbors, such as ~~I have been~~ <sup>is reported</sup>, ~~but~~ <sup>from</sup> you coming from  
your people, of a very gross character referring to myself  
and others <sup>collected up of the community</sup> ~~about I forbear to mention~~. I will only  
mention one <sup>case</sup> ~~matter~~, and you can judge what sort of feeling  
we could entertain towards a community of people who hold  
such <sup>opposite</sup> ~~opposite~~ <sup>views</sup> ~~views~~ <sup>that</sup> ~~views~~ <sup>views</sup> ~~views~~ <sup>views</sup>  
intolerant sentiments & feelings. A people too who claim to  
be the people of God - The only true church ~~on earth~~  
~~have talked enough with different members of your~~  
~~church~~ ~~as regards to satisfying myself of the truth of the report~~  
I come. When Mr. Burns who was making arrangements  
to establish a classical school in this place was suddenly  
killed, spreading gloom over all this community ~~here~~  
and among his acquaintances in New York, it seems  
different feelings filled the minds of your people, it is reported that  
the family elders announced the catastrophe in meeting de-  
claring it to have been a judgment of God upon him, or a  
special interposition of God in favor of your people  
in taking him out of the world in that <sup>holier</sup> manner to  
invent his setting up a school so near you. What you  
was a peaceable world have a bad influence upon your  
young men, and in bridling up the place, ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> minish  
the price of land, that you might wish to purchase.

$$\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 16 \\ \hline 96 \\ 16 \\ \hline 256 \\ 8 \\ 25 \\ \hline 14 \end{array} \quad | \quad \begin{array}{r} 16,20 \\ 16 \\ \hline 80 \\ 96 \\ 16 \\ \hline 264,0 \end{array}$$

25) 264 / 10 1/2

264 1/2 ~~201~~ 201  
201 201

Nudelbar

Some time last Spring Mr Jonathan Wood called upon me  
and remarked that you would be pleased to have me  
and my son John call at your office. You thought there  
was not that unfeeling feeling existing that was desirable  
and that a friendly explanation might remove misunderstand-  
ings &c. I replied that I had no objection to call at  
any convenient time, or to converse with you at any other place  
when we might happen to meet. Some weeks since we  
called at your office and you were absent.

I have no personal ill will against any individual  
of your society, nor feelings of opposition except such as are  
produced by the force of circumstances, and have grown  
out of the unkindly ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~unjust~~ <sup>unjust</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~unfriendly~~ <sup>unfriendly</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup>  
conduct or members of it.

80  
Society or members of it.  
I will pass by the thousand expressions of this character, which at the time it was known shocked the whole community who heard it. When Mr. Barnes who was about putting up a classical school in this place was suddenly killed, it was reported that <sup>early</sup> judgment of satisfaction weighed upon people, but the family Elders announced the catastrophe in ~~public~~<sup>public</sup>, and remarked that it was a judgment of God upon <sup>him</sup>, or as they interpreted of God in favor of your people in taking him out of the world to prevent his putting up a school to grieve you. Among other reasons which were adduced to be a ground were that it would have a bad influence upon your society particularly young men, that if it created divisions it would increase the price of land in the neighborhood which you might wish to purchase etc. You can judge what you would think of a neighborly society of people who stored in their and express such sentiments & feelings, especially that society claimed to be the people of God & the true church.

Mr. Wood said to one once that in matter of religion  
you differ from others. But we're entitled to your pro-  
tection as well as other people. This is true. You  
are aware that we do not look upon your system  
in a favorable light, but I know of no one who wishes  
to interfere with it any further than it may be  
necessary to defend and protect the intent, rights  
and privilege of others.

Mr. Wood also said he supposed the people did not like it because you purchased the Pier plan. For my own part I had a like joins lands with you as any body, as regards the mere ownership of land it makes no difference, but when we consider the entire difference between the principles, practice & customs of your Society, and the society by which you are surrounded, it would seem to be better, <sup>for all parties</sup> to avoid encroachments & collision, or too particular entanglements & especially any thing for the purpose of encroachments. Any withdrawal of property from the aid of social or religious society, or public schools, is a public injury to my Society, whether it be yours, or the one by which you are surrounded. Your neighbors view this matter in the same light as regards themselves, as you would in in a reversal of circumstances, as regards yourselves. No one questions your right to purchase property lying anywhere, it is only a question of expediency and mutual interest which can be raised. —

Your people said many hard things because the propriety of your application to the Legislature for Sharel Fourley was questioned. Every individual has an unquestionable right to canvass all public applications to Legislature, and to resist them as he shall think they are partial, improper, inconsistent with the general law, or conflict with the interests of others. I certainly claim this right for myself as a member of the community, and say frankly that I regard your law in the aforesaid light; and I say so frankly that I have no idea it can remain on the Statute book if at any time the subject should be brought up and fully canvassed. <sup>and examined as man says it could stand a thorough scrutiny but would be rejected</sup> Now not say this out of any ill will towards you but upon general principles, as every one right to express himself. Whether the master will ever aim a not, I cannot say, it may, and it may not.

I make these few remarks because I feared <sup>I might be asked</sup> to do so by you as you requested, <sup>I ought to understand what you had been told</sup> I would however be pleased to see you at any time that you might happen this way.



were averse to the introduction of it, though I do not know as you did. We were not, directly nor indirectly, nor do I know the members who introduced the bill. I read it in the papers.

A gentleman was here in the winter from Albany who remarked to me that one of the western members said he intended to introduce a bill to repeal that spinal (not law). I advised him not to do it. I was satisfied that the Society could not exist, or hold together without it, and I was not prepared to say that any <sup>Honest</sup> public good would result <sup>therefrom</sup> from it. You must be as well as I am <sup>that</sup> not a large proportion of the <sup>them</sup> from some <sup>other</sup> reason or other, but ~~such~~ <sup>for</sup> persons, who left the made good members of society, and <sup>on the other hand</sup> ~~such~~ no person in world was more ready to recognize the principle of freedom of opinion in all <sup>affairs</sup> than I was. <sup>This might tell the law longer,</sup> ~~such~~ <sup>by the Legislature</sup> it was under the control of the Legislature.

I make the foregoing remarks simply because Revd Ebenezer Bishop expressed a desire to know why the feelings of good neighborhood were interrupted, and it did not seem likely that I should meet him. - <sup>This is pretty much what</sup> I should have said to him. <sup>They fully wish I should do so</sup> You will readily see, ~~that~~ it could not be expected under the circumstances that any person could have felt less, at the same time I disclaim any feeling of ~~hostile~~ retaliation or hostility, and in charity ~~you~~ <sup>I</sup> would believe that ~~the~~ <sup>what</sup> I have complained of was the abolition of fanaticism rather misguided religious zeal, rather than the worse feelings which ~~them~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~such~~ would indicate. <sup>of course frank,</sup> - <sup>whatever may be the feelings and actions of others</sup> for my own part I do no more in being on unfriendly terms with any person, nor do I entertain unfriendly feelings towards any human being - you will send this to Mr. Bishop

Dr Sir

New Lebanon May 1840

About a year ago Mr. Jonathan Wood called upon me and remarked that you would be pleased to see me and Mrs. at your Office at some time, you thought there was not that neighborly feeling existing that was desirable and that friendly explanations might remove misunderstandings &c. I replied that I had no objection to call at some opportunity, or converse with you at any other place where we might happen to meet. Some time since we called at your Office and you were absent.

I have no personal ill will against any individual of your Society, nor feelings of opposition except such as are produced by circumstances beyond our control, and growing out of the hostile feelings and practices of your society in carrying out their principles, which are at war with the rest of the world.

If there is not that feeling existing which you think is desirable, it seems to me that the fault must be mainly with your people, and has grown out of the unfriendly feelings and opinions of your Society.

I never heard such remarks made about your people, as I have heard no comments from your people respecting. I will pass by the thousand opinions of this character which might be mentioned in conversation, and mention a single one which shocked the community.

When Mr. Barnes who was about setting up a classical school in this place was suddenly killed in jumping out of a stage, it was reported that the

catastrophe was announced by the elders in family meetings who remarked that it was a judgment of God upon him, or a special interposition of God in favor of your people in thus taking him out of the world to prevent his setting up a school so near you. It was apprehended that it would have a bad influence upon the young men of the society raise the price of land etc. You can readily judge what you would think of a neighboring society of people who should entertain and express such sentiments and feelings towards you especially if that society should claim to be the people of God, and the only true church.